Sampling of comments from WBC website on sprinkler articles

The show flat is a non-functioning mock-up. It is not connected to a water supply. I have been provided with written confirmation that the water supply pipes will be installed in the communal areas of each block, which seems likely to be extremely unsightly. I have also been provided with written confirmation that in the case of my block (Edgecombe House) the lowered ceiling in the hallway (which hides the unsightly plumbing within the show flat) will not be installed. In my view the show flat is at best inadvertently misleading and at worst a cynical exercise in misrepresentation. I would encourage all residents to check whether WBC intends to hide the internal plumbing above a lowered ceiling within their specific building.

PAUL GRANGER

20 July 2018

I will feel much safer when our sprinkler system has been installed. Please also install sprinklers in the communal areas, especially as there is only one flight of stairs in our block. We are leaseholders and believe that, in spite of the expense, the value of our property will be greatly enhanced by this welcome safety measure. I can't help wondering if anti sprinkler sentiment is being voiced by private landlords rather than owner occupiers. I hope I'm wrong. The more gradually we are able to pay for these works, the better.

GILL JONES

7 June 2018

I will never allow you to destroy my home with your ridiculous sprinkler systems! This idea is a complete waste of time and money!

PATRICIA OWEN

27 April 2018

WBC has recently invited the residents of high rise blocks to inspect their infamous sprinklers installed in the show flat at 101 Sudbury House. I must congratulate Council officers on their sense of humour as the number 101 has reminded me of the torture room from the novel 1984 by George Orwell. The poor workmanship of bulky pipe casing has failed to impress us! Mr Reilly, would you consider fitting this monstrosity in your property? The 'show' flat should look exemplary not scary! Not a single visitor felt encouraged to ruin their flat with this eyesore, in the name of 'safety'! NO, THANK YOU, WBC! I bought my flat in the high rise block in 1987, have paid the full price and it was deemed SAFE! Not anymore! Who has lied to us?

ALEXANDRA BRIEGER

27 April 2018

Every decision about which fire safety improvements should be made to which buildings should be entirely evidence-based, after assessing each individual building, rather than blindly prioritising buildings which are merely a similar shape to Grenfell Tower. I'm also concerned that the fitting of sprinklers would be carried out at a relatively high price for relatively low-quality work & materials, as I've recently experienced with major works. I

heard that the Council wants to fit sprinklers to give residents peace of mind. I've been a resident/leaseholder for 10 years & already have peace of mind about fire safety in my building, but sending me a bill of £4k or more for unnecessary work would have a terrible effect on my peace of mind!

STEPHEN H

27 March 2018

The offer of 48 months interest-free is a genuine offer, should be extended to 60 months for all leaseholders (5 years), provided the true marginal cost and not the average cost of sprinklers is applied.

KALEEM MIRZA

23 March 2018

The council charge some of the most expensive rents in London and are hoarding rental income, see the accounts of WBC, so they could fund it through their own resources. However, a lot of Leaseholders are sitting on windfall capital gains, especially if they own their property for 10 years or more. Obviously, following Grenfell, the problem of fire safety is a real one and thus the changes are needed. Without the sprinklers, these flats would fall in value so the leaseholders need to understand this point, however, building regulations and enforcement action poor. WBC should not be charging the full cost of the sprinklers, but the marginal cost, especially if a block is, say, 80% council and 20% private.

KALEEM MIRZA

23 March 2018

Agree with all comments above, on top of that council has always underestimate cost of all work so far done to my flat . £4K it's just the start. Total cost will be much greater.

BARTOSZ

21 March 2018

www.youtube.com/watch?v=OtuuhGL6OHc

FRAUDSTER

20 March 2018

We need a completely independent risk assessment on a individual block by block basis the work is a specialised job so is the estimated cost right there are many questions that need to be answered

TONY ARTHUR

20 March 2018

We all would accept the sprinkler system if the councilors that insist on them install it on their own houses first. If they are happy to have them in their houses we will be too.

LENNY

20 March 2018

THE COUNCIL AS FAR AS WE ARE CONCERNED WE HAVE NOT BEEN CONSULTED ABOUT SPRINKLER INSTALLATION THEREFORE THE COUNCIL SHOULD FORK OUT FOR IT. IT IS ENOUGH FOR THE COUNCIL TO TREAT US INSIGNIFICANT PEOPLE THAT WE ARE JUST HERE TO PICK UP THEIR BILLS AND HAVE NO SAY IN THIS MATTER THAT OBVIOUSLY CONCERNS US ALL. WE SHALL FIGHT THE COUNIL WITH THIS ATTROCIUOS IDEA THAT

WILL CAUSE US PROBLEMS TOGETHER EVEN IF IT HAS TO GO TO THE PARLIAMENT SO BE IT!!

SAIDA

20 March 2018

NO SPRINKLERS IN INDIVIDUAL FLATS PLEASE!! THIS IDEA HAS NO GROUNDS AS IT IS EXTORTIONATE AMOUNT OF MONEY TO HAVE TO PAY FOR AND SOMETHING THAT WILL CAUSE A LOT OF PROBLEMS IN THE FUTURE. WHY DOES THE COUNCIL NOT INSTALL THE SPRINKLERS IF THEY INSIST ON IT IN THE COMMUNAL HALLWAY AT THEIR OWN COST, AFTER ALL, IT RELATES TO THE FIRE AND SAFETY TO THE BLOCK AND WE DO NOT OWN THE BLOCK. !!

MAGGIE T

20 March 2018

No Need for Sprinklers in individual flats this has also been confirmed by the Fire Assessment Report so why insist to install them for the sake of it and charge us loads of money for it. Will the Counil reimburse me with compensation money should I have a faluty sprinklers and have flooding in my flat because of it. Will the Counil Replace everything that was at the start of installation of sprinklers? We have Two Fire Exits in Tunworth Crescent and there has not been such a fire as that of Grenfell Tower we all know it was due to lack of fireproof ladding put on the block. No Need for Sprinklers and furthermore NO NEED FOR REHARGING THE LEASEHOLFERS FOR UNNNECESSARY WORK.!

EDYTA

20 March 2018

The council is ripping us off. We have to pay astronomical service charges and starve in dept. Grenfell tower tragedy wouldn't have happened if the stupid cladding wasn't installed. Why this Council is so interested in our safety that is determined to run us dry? We can't catch up with the bills that the council is sending us for works that aren't necessary. All this has to stop now. Enough is enough. We don't want our homes to be turned to car parks with water sprinklers overhead, we live there trying to get on with our life. Leave us alone.

LEASHOLDER21

19 March 2018

Having attended the councils meetings on this matter I can tell you their attitude towards hearing leaseholders's view on this have been negative and limited. A blanked wide approach to this is both costly and inefficient and this whole thing is a "knee jerk" reaction to a great tradgidy. Assess the blocks properly as they have passed their fire safety tests year on year. Have the rules changed now? Where exactly does the council expect us to find 4K to fund this spending spree. No interest in this in my house. You want to make the blocks safer. Install them in the corridors. Issue us fire extinguishers and blankets. Don't make alterations to the inside of my home without my permission and then hand me the bill.

JAMES TURNER

19 March 2018

Re sprinklers. It is not at all justifiable to go ahead with a blanket insertion of sprinklers at great cost and inconvenience to residents and cost of potential damage if the system goes off accidentally - the damage could also badly affect all the floors blow too. The cost of

insuring against such accidents would be prohibitive too. A block by block assessment to see if a system is really necessary would be much more fair.

N.PLUMMER

19 March 2018

ok

I would argue that fitting sprinkler systems is not necessary, provided the fire strategy for the building has not been compromised as it was at Grenfell. As built each flat forms a separate fire compartment and fire should not spread beyond the flat. You also have to consider that sprinklers over a certain height require to be fed from tanks and pumps, I would ask where these would be fitted in existing buildings. If it is decided to go ahead with sprinklers I would suggest you look at fitting a water mist system which requires less room, less heads and less maintenance (I have no involvement or commercial interest in any water mist company).

COLIN TURNER

3 August 2018

I don't think that sprinklers would not have prevented Grenfell - the fire was on the outside of the building. Individual residents can buy and put sprinklers and smoke alarms up in their own properties easily. But I see no point in them being put up in the 'public areas' as all the scallywags will set them off for fun.

LIZ

30 July 2018

We have a tendency to go overboard with safety provision when its not required. The Grenfell incident only came about due to the fitting of external flammable cladding material. This issue is now being address with exterior cladding being replaced. Any sprinkler systems that need to be installed should cover escape routes from flats along communal corridors and stairwells to ground floor to provide a safe route for tenants going out and for fire officers coming in. Systems within flats will also be subject to abuse from either accidental or deliberate misuse. (e.g. drug/alcohol fuelled parties that get out of hand) This in turn will cause misery for tenants living below who will suffer from water damage. Who then pays for that?

JOHN WHITNEY

30 July 2018

Sprinklers are not a cost effective way of improving fire safety and the cost of thousands of pounds per leaseholder is disproportionate.

NIGEL

27 July 2018

What about privately owned properties in these buildings?

BETH

27 July 2018

I am the leaseholder of two flats in Roehampton. My understanding is that the fire brigade have not advised the council that sprinklers are necessary in the blocks in Roehampton

which are brick and concrete built and have no cladding. Any small fires in separate flats have been contained within the flat and there has never been any danger of the tragedy of Grenfell. Putting water sprinklers in each flat will cause immense and unnecessary disruption and could cause future damage should they be triggered unnecessarily as well as being very expensive for lease holders. I beg the council to reconsider.

SUE HARDWICK

29 November 2017

It's great that Wandsworth is pressuring the government to live up to its early promises to help financially with any necessary fire prevention work after Grenfell. But I agree with other comments that Wandsworth must avoid knee-jerk, publicity-seeking responses to this tragedy and wait for proper practical guidelines to emerge from the various inquiries. In correspondence I've had with Wandsworth's Housing department, they seem to take a more practical view, conceding that many blocks in the borough are far safer than Grenfell and it will be probably some time before consideration is given to what remedial work they might realistically need, based on the latest advice prevailing at the time.

PETER EVANS

28 November 2017

I have to say there's some unfortunate and divisive political posturing in this article, proudly stressing that council tenants 'won't pay a penny' while leaseholder will have to pay for their share of the work. Leaseholders are always reminded that council tenants do pay, through their rent and the council's Housing Revenue Account, for all those things that leaseholders pay for through their service charge. So why the difference here? It seems Wandsworth is happily going into their financial reserves for their tenants but claiming they have no alternative but to charge the leaseholders. Maybe they're preparing the ground for guaranteeing support for this work in any residents' consultation exercise!

PETER EVANS

28 November 2017

Thus far, having seen 8 of the 42 Fire Risk Assessments for the Roehampton & Putney Heath ward, for 7 of them, it states in Question 2.6.8 'Are Sprinkler systems present?' and the response is 'Not Required'. If there is now a need for water sprinklers does this mean that the buildings were unsafe? Altogether from the 2016 and 2017 service charges for one block which is going to have retro-fitted water sprinklers we've paid about £12.5k and for some leaseholders, especially those on low incomes or retired this must already be very daunting, and now to have this looming must be quite worrisome. Some information on ongoing maintenance and costs would also be good to know.

STEVE FANNON

27 November 2017

As a live-in leaseholder of an eleven-storey Council block, unsurprisingly, I fully support the Council's attempt to obtain Government funding to support its safety measures following the Grenfell tower disaster. However, should they fail, one can only conclude that the fitting of sprinklers in high-rise blocks is not seen as essential but merely desirable. The Fire Brigade have given Council blocks a 'clean bill of health' following previous regular inspections - a fact that is not negated by the Grenfell Tower fire. Many leaseholders will find it very

difficult to pay for the enforced fitting of sprinklers in their homes especially if they are currently paying for window replacement works at £9,000+.

MICHAEL SALT

27 November 2017

I completely agree with another previous comment that the council's blanket approach is knee jerk and unscientific and the announcement of a Borough-wide plan made without any significant consultation with the stakeholders. The plan ignores and invalidates the recommendations of the recent fire safety review of our 11 story concrete block where the fitting of sprinklers was deemed to be unnecessary! The Council has been spinning this action as an essential 'improvement'. If their properties have suddenly been deemed to be 'unsafe', then this action is indeed remedial and the Council should solely bear the cost as is being done by many other Councils across the country.

CHARLES

27 November 2017

I live in a high rise block for which the council is freeholder I do not feel that sprinklers are really necessary and strongly object to a cost expected to be several thousand pounds.

N.PLUMMER

25 November 2017

Just wait for all the 'local wags' to start setting off all sprinkler systems in high rise blocks.

LIZ D

25 November 2017

Grenfell was a disaster waiting to happen. The Cladding was the main problem. Please remember that thin sheets of Aluminium metal burn well, and are not difficult to set alight. Consider Wandsworth's tower blocks: Many have solid walls with no external cladding - Fitzhugh comes to mind. Fitting sprinklers will do little good! So, why is Wandsworth going in for the unnecessary, and frightening people into believing that sprinklers are essential? Why are other councils not charging Leaseholders the cost of necessary work?

PAUL E

24 November 2017

It has been demonstrated that the reason of the extend of the fire was due to the cladding, external layer of the building. Installing sprinkler in the home of residents would only mitigate suh a problem and would not avoid a repeat if such a cladding was present. In essence as often, the measure is oversize compared to the problem. Having said that installing sprinklers in communal areas such as corridors and staircases could be a good idea. P.S.: I am wondering how wouldlook spriner systems in people home! Probably an unsighful display of pipes networked around the sprinklers themselves. Being water fed, would also create a hazard with electrica aliances in home and c/would even trigger fires.

GILLES

24 November 2017

A mandatory retrofit installation of sprinklers in all ex local authority blocks is clearly unnecessary if the block is completely dissimilar to Grenfell Tower in composition and internallly and externally. I live in the Ethelburga Tower. I have seen the recent fire safety

report and while the council has been asked to comply with various extra safety measures sprinklers were NOT recommended. I have lived in this Tower for more than 20 years as a leaseholder.

MELANIE ENSOR

24 November 2017

If the freeholder wishes to carry out this sort of work I suspect they will have to comply with their legal obligations and serve s.20 notices before they carry out the work. The sooner Wandsworth Council stop selling of the their housing stock, to get votes, the better.

RICHARD

24 November 2017

My flat is in a 11-storey block that has concrete floors, concrete ceilings and brick walls. It has four flats on each floor that are served by two separate fire escapes. This design and construction is far safer than the new builds that constructed of combustible materials and have 10-20 flats on each floor. The council's blanket approach is knee jerk and unscientific. There has been no consultation with residents. Not impressed.

PENNY

24 November 2017

The London Fire Brigade has no authority on Building Regulations. Especially after their responsibilities have not been ascertained for the Grenfell Disaster. There are many fire attenuation measures that should be considered before fitting sprinklers. I will fight in the courts the leonine imposition on such cost on leaseholders.

FABIEN GUERET

24 November 2017

What evidence is there (beside the recommendations of the London Fire Brigade) is that we need water sprinklers in our homes? My concrete block has no cladding and there hasn't been a fire since I have lived here (20 years). If you are going to install sprinklers, why not in the hallways and the stairwell? Regarding leaseholders surely the Council should pay for something the leaseholder doesn't even want. Wandsworth Council and Councillors think that leaseholders have unlimited amounts of money to pay for their pet projects. As I live in a concrete highrise I can't even get a mortgage on my property so where does the Council think we can get the money? does it want to become a moneylender as well making a healthy profit on interest?

IMOGEN BREWER

24 November 2017

why now. we bought these flats in good faith and expected them to be safe. The council is retrofitting these therefore should not be passing the costs on. Hammersmith and Fulham council are not passing on the charges so why is Wandsworth. What happens where people cannot afford these charges on top of the £8000 they have already been charged for shoddy window repairs in Tunworth crescent

ANNE KEARNS

23 November 2017

It seems odd that leaseholders, who must surely have complied with the Council's fire regulations at the time they signed their lease, are now being asked for a lot of money (not at all modest, judging from what I have read in the papers) to cover the Council's failures.

SUSAN LOFTHOUSE

23 November 2017

I am a leaseholder, the council is not leading the way, Fulham are paying all costs for the sprinklers so are most other councils, that is leading the way, costs est 4k each! shame on you we have had our own quotes done, no more than 1800 each if all flats are done?? wbc are AGAIN going to rip off the already annoyed cash cow leaseholders. we have set up a group to fight this profiteering.. THE SPRINKLERS ARE NOT NECESSARY IN OUR APARTMENTS, HISTORY SHOWS FIRE DOES NOT SPREAD! EVERY FLAT IS A SELF CONTAINED FIRE COMPARTMENTATION. WE HAVE NO CLADDING OR FLAMMABLES.. PROFITEERING SCANDAL YOU WILL NOT GET AWAY WITH THIS ONE. PLEASE PRODUCE REPORTS OF ANY FLAT FIRE SPREADING OUT OF TH ORIGINAL FLAT IN ANY OF THESE BUILDINGS? YOU CANT!

AMUG

23 November 2017

As a Leaseholder of one of the affected properties in the borough, I am concerned about the decision to install sprinkler systems in the individual flats but not the communal areas- our block only has one set of stairs- surely the priority should be to protect our only exit route. There is also a wider question about whether the council's building insurance would cover any water damage caused by the system initiating.

CAMERON YOUNG

19 October 2017

@SPRINKLER TECH LTD. If system is connected to domestic supply and property is water metered will owner we charged for water? Are there any stats on the amount of water that could be released when a sprinkler goes off? Thanks

PADDY

5 August 2017

A convicted arsonist was setting numerous fires in my block, and it was recommended that sprinklers be fitted. The council refused to fit sprinklers and did nothing to catch the arsonist who was living in this block. The residents had to set up their own CCTV to finally have the evidence to get a conviction. According to the council, we didn't need sprinklers even when an arsonist was setting fires. Why do we need sprinklers now? Also, we don't have cladding here, so how has the danger increased? The leaseholders in my block have recently paid £4000+ each for refurbishments (which were done to a very low standard), hopefully we won't have to pay for these sprinklers.

MR LEE

6 July 2017

@MR HOLMES, If you had actually read our comment, we said sprinklers ONLY in corridors and stairwells would not be a good idea, implying that the flats should ALSO be protected. We would always recommend full sprinkler coverage in ALL area's.

SPRINKLER TECH LTD

2 July 2017

I'm am very interested in the safety of all residents, for the company that said it would not be a good idea to put sprinklers in corridors and stairwells, I hope wandsworth council will be made aware that there are gas pipes in some corridors in some blocks, so I think it is very much needed in the corridors as well. To be truthful I think it would be dangerous in a event of a fire to run down stairs and gas pipes burning without the aid of sprinklers, also water would give people a chance against smoke particles. So for everyone's safety it would be wise to have sprinklers especially near gas pipes in the corridors.

MR HOLMES

2 July 2017

Hi All, we are a company that designs and installs fire sprinklers and would just to put the record straight about the common misconceptions with fire sprinklers. 1. Fire sprinklers only operate from the HEAT from a fire and NOT smoke. Burning the toast would NOT activate a sprinkler. 2. Only the 1 or 2 fire sprinklers nearest to the fire would activate and control the fire. NOT all sprinklers would activate. 3. Sprinklers can often share the water from the domestic water supply therefore large tanks are often not required. 4. Sprinklers fitted ONLY in corridors are typically not a good idea as stairways and corridors typically have little combustible material, but sprinklers in flats would be imperative.

SPRINKLER TECH LTD

1 July 2017

@sheila gilbert - my advice in your situation would be to move somewhere else. It doesn't sound as though the place you've chosen to live is well suited to you in the event of an emergency exit?

GUSTAV

29 June 2017

They are concrete shells, they were designed to keep the fire in each dwelling. The fire sprinklers should be in the passage ways and stairs only. The cladding of plastic running up a building is the true danger. To have pipes running through each flat would unneeded and stupid. With electric light bulbs near them. Who knows what could happen.

MR HOLMES

27 June 2017

i live in sheltered accomodation, we only have oneset of stairs to use, i am unable to walk down them, and i live on the second floor. please can you advise, as i am aware you must never use lifts. thank yo

SHEILA GILBERT

26 June 2017

Can we please have transparency on how these improvements are to be funded? Specifically, what %age of the improvements will be paid by the beneficiaries of the upgraded property, ie. those living in the properties, and what %age will be paid by other people who will not benefit at all from this?

GUSTAV

26 June 2017

I'ts a shame that these measurements have to be taken only after a tragedy like Grenfell Tower happened. All Wandsworth residential homes should already be fitted with CCTV cameras and sprinklers in all the lifts and communal areas. It should be common sense. I have already insisted twice to different managers on my tower (Sparkford House) to install them. Last time I got this answer: ''Although the issues of rubbish being left in the lifts and people and dogs urinating in the lifts are understandably frustrating for residents, these problems would not be at such levels that would necessitate the installation of CCTV cameras'' I am extremely concerned and will keep on insisting.

RAFAEL GAVASSA

26 June 2017

Sprinkler systems are being discussed. A sprinkler system surely requires a rather large tank next to the building - are you not talking about dry risers where the fire brigade connect to the ground floor inlet and put out the fire? This is the practical solution which achieves the aim, without everyone being soaked if someone burns toast!

FREDRIK SUDMANN

25 June 2017

This is to be commended, but it also begs the question: "Why weren't sprinklers fitted as standard when the tower blocks were built?"

SUSAN JONES

25 June 2017

A classic knee-jerk over-reaction to a tragic event designed to protect the political class from further criticism at the expense of everyone else, yet only serving to demonstrate how incompetent that class is. Sound decision making is based on an analysis of the available EVIDENCE not political calculation. The EVIDENCE available points to the flammability of the cladding on Grenfell as the primary factor, though there are questions about evacuation advice and smoke control within the building including the effectiveness of the fire doors to the central escape stairwell. These are the issues that need to be answered before a rational decision can be made. Panic driven, ill-considered measures are likely to be worthless, but expensive.

JOE CAIRNS

24 June 2017

Well done wandsworth council, your commendable decision shows respect for human life and a desire to listen to your residents. I am however concerned for those of us on the Newlands estate and similar buildings, as Barringer Square for example has double glazed windows that only open a crack (few inches from ceiling height) and can't be opened enough for escape or rescue in a fire emergency situation. Is the council willing to consider ALL aspects of fire safety in flats or just those that failed Grenfell Tower? We residents have often complained about this risk, which can easily be rectified by fitting windows which can be opened wider with a safely stored key such as my mum has in her Mitcham flat. Well done so far, but more please.

REMI

24 June 2017

I'd rest easier if you moved out my grandchildren of 2yrs and 4yrs old from the 15th floor of Kintyre Hse and all families with children under 10yrs, the elderly and disable from living higher than a sixth floor.If Grenfell had have been their block I would be in mourning now, their other would not have been able to get two little ones down 15 floors of stairs thick with fumes and smoke, Pls pls rethink. A loving Nana

SARA DENNY

24 June 2017

I hope the sprinklers are isolated to a flat otherwise when some burns toast or dilibreatley sets off the system if the flats are linked then another problem will have been created ie soaking beds' carpets and more uninhabitable living conditions

CHRISTINE O'BRIEN

23 June 2017

I would like to thank Wandsworth Council for your commendable decision to install sprinkler systems in all Wandsworth Council tower blocks. It is an outstanding example of your humanity and your willingness to value human life. I am so grateful. Thank you.

VANESSA

23 June 2017

"Smoking and the use of candles should be banned in all these tower blocks flats". Don't be ridiculous, Simon. Would you also ban gas hobs at the same time? That'll go down well with anyone who likes to cook seriously The Grenfell fire started with a refrigerator - should we ban them, too, along with any other electrical equipment that can malfunction and overheat...computers, mobile phones, TV sets? You can't remove all risk from human life - only so much can be done before it becomes repressive. However appalling the recent fire was, in general fatalities from fires are happily far, far rarer than they were even 50 years ago.

OSMUND BULLOCK

23 June 2017

In the Alton estate we are already paying a massive 7K in 2017 for unnecessary renewal of balcony windows. There is no way leaseholders can afford to be charged another large amount for this major work!

MR NEVILLE P CHURCHILL

23 June 2017

Sections of the materials under the cladding - insulation panels and material, have now been shown to be flammable too. Is Wandsworth checking those too - and if not WHY NOT?

CELIA BLAIR

23 June 2017

Swift, decisive action by Wandsworth Council for which you should be commended for. Well done. Lives > cost.

PHIL PIENAAR

23 June 2017

Smoking and the use of candles should be banned in all these tower blocks flats

SIMON

23 June 2017

Each council high-rise should have these items under review; 1. Sprinkler systems - I am so glad to hear that this is being addressed. 2. Working and properly-rated fire doors, and residents taught to self-check and police their functioning. 3. Positive-pressure evacuation stair wells, to keep smoke out. 4. Emergency lighting along all evacuation routes. 5. Lifts that can be set to firemen's mode to disable use during emergencies, and restrict their use to firefighters 6. Dry-risers and working hoses / extinguishers, etc. for very floor 7. TRAINING - Residents should be required to attend safety training. 8. STRONG FIRE and SAFETY codes for buildings, coupled with personal liabilities for company and building directors.

RICHARD LO

23 June 2017

Good news. The last upgrade cost me over £6k. Who is paying for this?

DEBBIE GRAVES

23 June 2017

i welcome the sprinklers however id like to know what your going to do about me being disabled in a wheelchair on 7th floor when you cant use a lift if you need to evacuate and i cant walk down 7 floors of stairs? im sure im not only one in this situation do you intend to move me?

JAMES PARSON

23 June 2017

I welcome your action. Which budget is the cost coming from

N BAYBUTT

23 June 2017

Well done! The sprinklers are important and will help save lives and put out fires in the future. Money well spent by the Council.

HELEN CLOUGH

23 June 2017